arXiv:1512.07726v1 [math.AG] 24 Dec 2015

STABLE MAPS OF GENUS 0 IN THE SPACE OF STABLE VECTOR BUNDLES ON A CURVE

KIRYONG CHUNG AND SANGHYEON LEE

ABSTRACT. Let X be a smooth projective curve with genus $g \geq 3$. Let $\mathcal{N}$ be the moduli space of stable rank two vector bundles E on X with a fixed determinant $\mathcal{O}_X(-x)$ for $x \in X$. In this paper, as a generalization of Kiem and Castravet's works, we study the stable maps in $\mathcal{N}$ with genus 0 and degree 3. Let P be a natural closed subvariety of $\mathcal{N}$ which parametrizes stable vector bundle with a fixed subbundle $L^{-1}(-x)$ for a line bundle L on X. We describe the stable maps space $\mathbf{M}_0(P, 3)$. It turns out that the space $\mathbf{M}_0(P, 3)$ consists of two irreducible components. One of them parameterizes smooth rational cubic curves and the other parameterizes the union of line and smooth conics.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. **Stable maps in the stable vector bundles space.** Let $i : Y \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ be a smooth projective variety with $i^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(1) = \mathcal{O}_Y(1)$. Let $\mathbf{M}_0(Y, d)$ be the moduli space parameterizing stable maps $[f : C \to Y]$ with the genus $g(C) = 0$ and the degree $\deg(f) := d$. It is well-known that the moduli space $\mathbf{M}_0(Y, d)$ is compact and its geometry has been studied in various contents: enumerative geometry ([11, 21]) and birational geometry ([5]). In this paper, as a continuations of the works in [16, §3], we study the moduli space of stable maps of degree 3 when the target $Y = \mathcal{N}$ is the moduli space of stable vector bundles of rank two over a smooth curve X. Here $\mathcal{N}$ parameterizes the Gieseker-Mumford stable vector bundles E of rank 2 and the fixed determinant $\det(E) = \mathcal{O}_X(-x)$ on a smooth projective curve X (§2.1). It is well-known that the theta divisor Θ (which is ample) generates the Picard group $\mathrm{Pic}(\mathcal{N})$ ([2]). For the projective embedding $i : \mathcal{N} \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ provided by the divisor Θ on $\mathcal{N}$, one can consider the moduli space $\mathbf{M}_0(\mathcal{N}, d)$ of stable maps with degree d. As the degree of the maps become lager, the moduli space $\mathbf{M}_0(\mathcal{N}, d)$ may have many different irreducible components. Since we do not have any systematic technique to approach this problem, we are studying the problem from the lower degree cases. Let us summarize the well-known results for $d \leq 2$.

- When the degree $d = 1$, the moduli space is isomorphic to a projective bundle over the Picard group $\mathrm{Pic}^0(X)$ ([19, 15]).
- In [16], when $d = 2$, the problem has been completely solved. The author proved that the moduli space $\mathbf{M}_0(\mathcal{N}, 2)$ are consisting of two irreducible components: Hecke curves and the rational curves of extension types where they transversally intersect. Furthermore, the author related

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14E05, 14H60, 14D22.
Key words and phrases. Stable bundles; Modification of vector bundles; Rational curves.
KC was partially supported by NRF grant 2013-R1-A1-A2-006037.

the moduli space $\mathbf{M}_0(\mathcal{N}, 2)$ with the *Hilbert scheme* of conics in $\mathcal{N}$ by using the birational morphisms with some geometric meaningful centers.

If $d = 3$, it is quite natural to start with finding the possible irreducible components of the moduli space $\mathbf{M}_0(\mathcal{N}, 3)$. In this direction, in [3, 16], the authors found the two components parametrizing the degree 3 map $f : \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathcal{N}$. For $L \in \mathrm{Pic}^k(X)$, let $\mathbb{P}_L := \mathbb{P}\,\mathrm{Ext}^1(L, L^{-1}(-x))$ be the space of non-split extensions

$$0 \to L^{-1}(-x) \to E \to L \to 0.$$

By the functoriality of the moduli space $\mathcal{N}$, we have a natural rational map

$$\Psi_L : \mathbb{P}_L \dashrightarrow \mathcal{N}.$$

Two components parametrizes one of the following types of degree 3 maps $f : \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathcal{N}$. ([3, Lemma 4.10], [16, Proposition 3.9]).

i) $k = 0$, f is a composition of degree 3 maps $\mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}\,\mathrm{Ext}^1(L, L^{-1}(-x)) \cong \mathbb{P}^{g-1}$ and the map Ψ_L. In this case Ψ_L is a linear embedding (i.e., $\deg\Psi_L = 1$). Also it is well known that every $(g-1)$-dimensional linear space has been arised in this fashion. Hence one of the components of the stable maps is isomorphic to the relative stable map space $\mathbf{M}_0(\mathbb{P}\mathcal{U}/\mathrm{Pic}^0(X), 3)$ where $\mathcal{U}$ is the universal rank g bundle over $\mathrm{Pic}^0(X)$. Thus, the method in [7, 9] regarding with the comparison of the various compactifications of rational curves can be well applied.

ii) $k = 1$, f is a composition of the degree one map $\mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}\,\mathrm{Ext}^1(L, L^{-1}(-x)) \cong \mathbb{P}^{g+1}$ (i.e., line) and the map Ψ_L. In this case, $\deg\Psi_L = 3$. But the base locus of the map Ψ_L may not be empty. Moreover, the rational map Ψ_L may not be injective.

In fact, one can easily check that the base locus $\mathrm{Bs}(\Psi_L)$ is isomorphic to X by applying Thaddeus and Bertram work ([28, 1]). Also, the resolution of the undefined locus of the rational map Ψ_L is the first blow-up $\pi : M_1 = bl_X\mathbb{P}^{g+1} \to \mathbb{P}^{g+1} = \mathrm{Ext}^1(L, L^{-1}(-x))$ in [28] (Proposition 2.5). Here the space M_1 is the moduli space of pairs on X (For definition, see [28]). Under some geometric condition (so called, *non-trisecant*) on the line bundle L (Definition 2.6), the regular morphism $M_1 \to \mathcal{N}$ is an closed embedding and thus the study of stable maps in $\mathcal{N}$ boils down to the space M_1. In this paper, we are willing to study the stable maps in M_1.

1.2. **Main results.** Let L be the non-trisecant line bundle on X (Definition 2.6). Let $\pi^*[\text{line}] := \beta \in \mathrm{H}_2(\mathrm{bl}_X\mathbb{P}^{g+1})$ be the pull-back of the line class along the blow-up map $\pi : bl_X\mathbb{P}^{g+1} \to \mathbb{P}^{g+1}$. Then we have a closed embedding

$$\mathbf{M}_0(bl_X\mathbb{P}^{g+1}, \beta) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{M}_0(\mathcal{N}, 3)$$

between the moduli spaces of stable maps (Proposition 2.5). There may be many irreducible components in $\mathbf{M}_0(bl_X\mathbb{P}^{g+1}, \beta)$ (cf. [21, 12, 20]). One of obvious components of the moduli space $\mathbf{M}_0(bl_X\mathbb{P}^{g+1}, \beta)$ is the closure of the locus of the lines $l \subset \mathbb{P}^{g+1}$ such that $l \cap \mathrm{Bs}(\Psi_L) = \emptyset$ (i.e., an open subset of $Gr(2, g+2)$). Let us denote it by Γ_1. There is another irreducible component in the moduli space $\mathbf{M}_0(bl_X\mathbb{P}^{g+1}, \beta)$ which are arising from the blowing-up π. The component consists of stable maps reducible domain whose image is the union of line and conic such that the conic lies in the exceptional locus of the blowing-up. Let us denote it by Γ_2. One of our main goal of this paper is to prove that these are all of them. That is,

Theorem 1.1 (Theorem 3.2)**.** *Under the above notations, the moduli space* $\mathbf{M}_0(bl_X\mathbb{P}^{g+1}, \beta)$ *consists of the two irreducible components* $\overline{\Gamma}_1$ *and* $\overline{\Gamma}_2$ *of dimension* $2g$*. Furthermore, the intersection* $\overline{\Gamma}_1 \cap \overline{\Gamma}_2$ *is of pure,* $2g-1$*-dimensional space.*

In fact, we can describe the moduli points in $\overline{\Gamma}_1 \cap \overline{\Gamma}_2$ by using the deformation theory of maps (§3).

Regarding with the enumerative geometry, the research of the moduli space of stable maps in the blown-up space of the projective space has been intensively studied in [20] and [12].

1.3. **Notations.** Throughout this article, we use the following notations.

- X: projective curve of genus $g \geq 3$.
- Let $x \in X$ be a fixed point.
- If there is no need to emphasize a line bundle $L \in \mathrm{Pic}^1(X)$(respectively, $L \in \mathrm{Pic}^0(X)$) and extension groups, we sometimes abbreviate $\mathbb{P}V_L$ by $\mathbb{P}^{g+1}$ (respectively, $\mathbb{P}^{g-1}$). And we sometimes abbreviate stable locus of $\mathbb{P}V_L := \mathbb{P}V_L^s$ by $\mathbb{P}^s$, when $L \in \mathrm{Pic}^1(X)$ in the same situation.
- We use notation $V_L := \mathrm{Ext}^1(L, L^{-1}(-x))$, V_L^s is a stable part of $\mathrm{Ext}^1(L, L^{-1}(-x))$.

Acknowledgement. We would like to thank W. Lee and A. Iliev for valuable discussion and comments. The second named author are gratefully thanks to his thesis advisor, Yong-Hoon Kiem.

2. Review on the resolution of unstable bundles

2.1. **Stable bundles and stable maps.** Let $\mu(E) := \deg(E)/\mathrm{rank}(E)$ be the slope of the vector bundle E on X. A vector bundle E is called *stable* if

$$\mu(F) < \mu(E)$$

for all non-zero, proper, subbundle $F \subset E$. Let $\mathcal{N}$ be the moduli space of stable rank 2 vector bundles E on X with a fixed determinant $\det(E) \cong \mathcal{O}_X(-x)$. From the general theory of the geometric invariant theory ([27]) and $(\mathrm{rank}(E), \deg(E)) = 1$, it is well-known that the moduli space $\mathcal{N}$ is a smooth projective variety.

On the other hand, for a smooth projective variety Y, let $\beta \in \mathrm{H}_2(Y, \mathbb{Z})$. Let C be a projective connected reduced curve of genus $g(C) = 0$. A map $f : C \to Y$ is called *stable* if

- C has at worst nodal singularities;
- $|\mathrm{Aut}(f)| < \infty$.

Let $\mathbf{M}_0(Y, \beta)$ be the moduli space of stable maps with $f_*[C] = \beta$. Then the moduli space $\mathbf{M}_0(Y, \beta)$ is a projective scheme ([11, Theorem 1]).

2.2. **Some remark about the rational map** $\Psi : \mathbb{P}^{g+1} \dashrightarrow \mathcal{N}$**.**

Definition-Proposition 2.1. *(*[16]*) Let* E *be a rank two vector bundle on* X *and* $p \in X$*. Let* E^{v_p} *be the kernel of the surjective map*

$$0 \longrightarrow E^{v_p} \longrightarrow E \xrightarrow{v_p} \mathbb{C}_p \longrightarrow 0. \tag{1}$$

Then E^{v_p} *is a vector bundle. Let us call* E^{v_p} *by* an elementary modification *of the bundle* E *at the point* p*.*

Since $\mathrm{Hom}(E,\mathbb{C}_p) = \mathrm{Hom}(E|_p,\mathbb{C}_p) = \mathbb{C}^2$ and $\mathrm{Ker}(v_p) = \mathrm{Ker}(\lambda\cdot v_p)$ for all $\lambda\in\mathbb{C}^*$, we assume that $v_p \in (\mathbb{C}^2\setminus\{0\})/\mathbb{C}^* \cong \mathbb{P}^1$. Let $E = \zeta\oplus\zeta'$ for line bundles ζ and ζ' on X. If $v_p\in\mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{P}^1\setminus\{[1:0],[0:1]\}$, one can easily see that the modified bundle E^{v_p} are isomorphic to each other. Hence, from now on, let us denote

Definition 2.2. *Let us define by*

$$(\zeta\oplus\zeta')^p := (\zeta\oplus\zeta')^{v_p}$$

for all $v_p\in\mathbb{C}^*$.

Let K_X be the canonical line bundle on X.

Lemma 2.3. *(cf.* [28, (3.4)] *and* [1, §3]*) Let*

$$f: X\to\mathbb{P}^{g+1} = \mathbb{P}\,\mathrm{Ext}^1(L,L^{-1}(-x)),\quad p\mapsto (L\oplus L^{-1}(p-x))^p$$

be the map provided by the elementray modification. Then the map f is equal to the map given by the complete linear system

$$i = |L^2(x)\otimes K_X| : X\subset\mathbb{P}^{g+1}.$$

Proof. In [28, (3.4)], it was proved that the map i is equivalent to the map $g: X\to \mathbb{P}H^1(\Lambda^{-1}) = M_0$ ($\Lambda = L^2(x)$) where M_0 is the moduli space of stable pairs on X. In fact, the map g is defined by by $\mathbb{P}W_1\to\mathbb{P}H^1(L^{-2}(-x))$, where W_1 is a line bundle on X and $g(p) = \mathbb{P}H^0(L^{-2}(-x)|_p)\in\mathbb{P}H^1(L^{-2}(-x))$ (the final paragraph of [28, 329p]). This is the projectivization of the first map ξ in the following exact sequence:
(2)

$$0\to\mathrm{Ext}^1(L|_p,L^{-1}(-x))\xrightarrow{\xi}\mathrm{Ext}^1(L,L^{-1}(-x))\xrightarrow{\gamma}\mathrm{Ext}^1(L(-p),L^{-1}(-x))\to 0,$$

where (2) is obtained by taking the functor $\mathrm{Hom}(-,L^{-1}(-x))$ into the short exact sequence $0\to L(-p)\to L\to L|_p\to 0$. Since $\mathrm{Ext}^1(L|_p,L^{-1}(-x)) = \mathbb{C}$, to prove that $g(p) = f(p)$, it is enough to show that $\gamma(f(p)) = L(-p)\oplus L^{-1}(-x)$. That is,

$$(L\oplus(L^{-1}(p-x))^p\oplus_L L(-p)\cong L(-p)\oplus L^{-1}(-x), \tag{3}$$

where the left hand side is defined by the pulling-back:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & L^{-1}(-x) & \longrightarrow & (L\oplus L^{-1}(p-x))^p\oplus_L L(-p) & \longrightarrow & L(-p) & \longrightarrow & 0\\
 & & \| & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & L^{-1}(-x) & \longrightarrow & (L\oplus L^{-1}(p-x))^p & \longrightarrow & L & \longrightarrow & 0.
\end{array}$$

One can check the isomorphism in (3) locally as follow. For every open set $U\subset X$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&((L\oplus L^{-1}(p-x))^p\oplus_L L(-p))(U)\\
&= \{((s_1,s_2),s_3)|(s_1,s_2)\in((L\oplus L^{-1}(p-x))^p(U), s_3\in L(-p)(U), s_1 = s_3\}\\
&\cong \{(s_1,s_2)\in((L\oplus L^{-1}(p-x))^p(U)|s_1\in L(-p)\}\\
&\cong \{(s_1,s_2)\in L(U)\oplus L^{-1}(p-x)(U))|as_1(p)+bs_2(p) = 0, s_1(p) = 0\}\\
&\cong \{(s_1,s_2)\in L(U)\oplus L^{-1}(p-x))(U)|s_1(p) = s_2(p) = 0\}\\
&\cong \{(s_1,s_2)\in(L(-p)\oplus L^{-1}(-x))(U)\},
\end{aligned}$$

where the third isomorphism comes from the definition of the elementray modification and the fourth one comes from the choice of $v_p = [a:b]\in\mathbb{C}^*$ for $ab\neq 0$. Therefore we proved the claim. □

Remark 2.4. *deg*$(X) = 2g + 1$.

Proposition 2.5. *(*[28, 1]*) Let*

$$\Psi_L : \mathbb{P}^{g+1} \dashrightarrow \mathcal{N}$$

be the rational map defined by the extensions of L *by* $L^{-1}(-x)$*. Then*

(1) *the undefined locus of the rational map* Ψ_L *is isomorphic to* X *(Lemma 2.3) and the blowing-up* $\mathbb{P}^{g+1}$ *along* X *extends to a regular morphism*

$$\widetilde{\Psi}_L : bl_X\mathbb{P}^{g+1}(:= \mathbf{P}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}.$$

(2) *The exceptional divisor* $\mathbb{P}^{g-1}$ *restricted to a fiber of the blowing-up* π *is exactly the degree* 0 *extension type and thus each restricted exceptional divisor is a linearly embedding into* $\mathcal{N}$ *by* $\widetilde{\Psi}_L$*.*

(3) *If* Ψ_L *is injective and* $H^0(L^2(x)) = 0$*, then the morphism* $\widetilde{\Psi}_L$ *is a closed embedding.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{P} & & \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi} & \searrow^{\widetilde{\Psi}_L} & \\ \mathbb{P}^{g+1} & \overset{\Psi_L}{\dashrightarrow} & \mathcal{N}. \end{array}$$

Proof. Let us follow the notation of [28] by letting $\Lambda = L^2(x)$ and thus $0 \to \mathcal{O}_X \to E \to L^2(x) \to 0$. Also, $\mathbf{P} \cong M_1$ where the later space parameterizes the pairs of (s, E) with E is stable and $s \subset \mathrm{H}^0(E)$ ([28]). Part (1) comes from Lemma 2.3 and [28, (2.1)]. The part (2) comes from item (2) of [1, Theorem 1]. In part (3), the injectiveness of $\widetilde{\Psi}$ comes from [28, (3.20)] because $\mathrm{H}^0(E) = \mathbb{C}$. Note that our extended map $\widetilde{\Psi}_L$ is just the forgetful map $(s, E) \mapsto E$ by forgetting the section $s \subset \mathrm{H}^0(E)$. Hence the tangential map $\widetilde{\Psi}_* : T_{[(s,E)]}\mathbf{P} \to T_{[E]}\mathcal{N}$ fits into the exact sequence ([28, (2.1)])

$$0 \to \mathrm{Ext}^0(E, E) \to H^0(E) \to T_{[(s,E)]}\mathbf{P} \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\Psi}_*} T_{[E]}\mathcal{N}.$$

Since $\mathrm{Ext}^0(E, E) = \mathbb{C}$ and $H^0(E) = \mathbb{C}$, we conclude that the map $\widetilde{\Psi}$ is embedding. □

The assumptions of the part (3) of above proposition can be satisfied by the following choice of the line bundles L (cf. Lemma 2.10).

Definition 2.6. *We call that* L *is* non-trisecant *if* $\mathrm{H}^0(L^2(x)) = 0$.

If L satisfies the non-trisecant condition then we have the following property.

Corollary 2.7. *(*[22, Lemma 5.1]*)*

(a) *The smooth curve* $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{g+1}$ *(embedded by* $|L^2(x) \otimes K_X|$*) has a trisecant line if and only if*

$$L^2(x) \cong \mathcal{O}_X(p + q + r)(\text{equivalently,}\mathrm{H}^0(L^2(x)) \neq 0) \tag{4}$$

for some $p, q, r \in X$*. In this case, there is the trisecant line such that its intersection with* X *is* $p + q + r$ *(if* $p = q, r$ *then the line is tangential to* X *at* p *and if* $p = q = r$ *then the line is tangential to* X *at* p *and meets* p *three times).*

(b) *If the curve X is neither trigonal nor hyperelliptic, the points p, q, r satisfying equation* (4) *is uniquely defined and thus there exists a unique trisecant line.*

Proof. For part (a), let l be a trisecant line. Let $l \cap X = \{p, q, r\}$(the three points p, q, r may be equal to each other), then $l = \overline{pq}$. Since l is trisecant, by the similar method in Proposition 2.8, the line l is the projectivization of the kernel of a map j_1 which fits into the diagram

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{Ext}^0(L, L^{-1}(-x)) \to \mathrm{Ext}^0(L(-p-q-r), L^{-1}(-x)) \to \mathrm{Ext}^1(L|_{p+q+r}, L^{-1}(-x)) \\ &\qquad \xrightarrow{j_1} \mathrm{Ext}^1(L, L^{-1}(-x)) \to \mathrm{Ext}^1(L(-p-q-r), L^{-1}(-x)) \to 0. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Because the first term is clearly zero and dimension of the kernel is 2, dimension of $\mathrm{Ext}^0(L(-p-q-r), L^{-1}(-x)) \cong \mathrm{H}^0(L^{-2}(-x) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(p+q+r))$ is 1. Therefore $L^{-2}(-x) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(p+q+r) \cong \mathcal{O}_X$. So we conclude that $L^2(x) \cong \mathcal{O}_X(p+q+r)$.

Conversely, let us assume that the line bundle L satisfies the equation (4). By taking the functor $\mathrm{Hom}(L, -)$ in the short exact sequence $0 \to L^{-1}(-x) \to L^{-1}(p+q+r-x) \to L^{-1}(p+q+r-x)|_{p+q+r} \to 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{Ext}^0(L, L^{-1}(p+q+r-x)) \to \mathrm{Ext}^0(L, L^{-1}(p+q+r-x)|_{p+q+r}) \to \mathrm{Ext}^1(L, L^{-1}(-x)) \\ &\qquad \xrightarrow{j_2} \mathrm{Ext}^1(L, L^{-1}(p+q+r-x)) \to \mathrm{Ext}^1(L, L^{-1}(p+q+r-x)|_{p+q+r}) = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Since final term is clearly zero, $\dim(\mathrm{Ext}^1(L, L^{-1}(-x))) = g+2$ and $\dim \mathrm{Ext}^1(L, L^{-1}(p+q+r-x)) = \dim \mathrm{Ext}^1(L, L) = g$ by (4). Thus $\dim \ker \pi = 2$. Also, since j_2 is equal to the composition of j_1 and an isomorphism $\mathrm{Ext}^1(L(-p-q-r), L^{-1}(-x)) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^1(L, L^{-1}(p+q+r-x))$, $\ker j_2 = \ker j_1$. Therefore $\ker j_2$ is exactly the same as the affine cone of the linear subspace $l := \langle p, q, r \rangle$ in $\mathbb{P}^{g+1}$. Hence the linear subspace l is a line in $\mathbb{P}^{g+1}$ such that $X \cap l = p + q + r$.

For part (b), let us assume that there are three points $\{p', q', r'\} (\neq \{p, q, r\})$ such that $L^2(x) \cong \mathcal{O}_X(p' + q' + r')$. Then $\mathcal{O}_X(p+q+r-p'-q'-r') \cong \mathcal{O}_X$. This means X is hyperelliptic or trigonal. □

2.3. **Geometry of lines in $\mathbb{P}^{g+1}$ meeting X.** In this subsection, we give a description of line the $\overline{pq} := \langle f(p), f(q) \rangle$ passing through $p, q \in X \xhookrightarrow{f} \mathbb{P}^{g+1} = \mathbb{P}V_L$ for $L \in \mathrm{Pic}^1(X)$. If $p = q$, then $\overline{pp}$ denotes the projectivized tangent line of X at $f(p)$. Recall that the image $f(t)$ (Lemma 2.3) fits into the following short exact sequences:

$$0 \to L^{-1}(-x) \to f(t) = (L \oplus L^{-1}(t-x))^t \to L \to 0.$$

Proposition 2.8. *Under the above notation, $M := L \oplus L^{-1}(p+q-x)$. Then the line $\overline{pq} \setminus \{p, q\}$ missing the points p and q is parameterized by the* double *modified bundles $(M^{v_p})^{v_q} (= (M^{v_q})^{v_p})$ which fits into the exact sequence:*

$$0 \longrightarrow (M^{v_p})^{v_q} \longrightarrow M \xrightarrow{(v_p \oplus v_q)} \mathbb{C}_p \oplus \mathbb{C}_q \longrightarrow 0.$$

Here $v_p \in \mathbb{C}^* \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathrm{H}^0(M|_p)^*) = \mathbb{P}^1$ and $v_q \in \mathbb{C}^* \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathrm{H}^0(M|_q)^*) = \mathbb{P}^1$. Hence $\overline{pq} \setminus \{p, q\} = \mathbb{P}V_L^s \cap \mathbb{P}V_{L^{-1}(p+q-x)}$.

Proof. By some diagram chasing, one can easily show that the double modified bundle is exactly the kernel of the map $v_p \oplus v_q$.

Let us describe the line $\overline{pq}$. By taking the functor $\mathrm{Hom}(-, L^{-1}(x))$ into the short exact sequence $0 \to L(-p-q) \to L \to L|_{p+q} \to 0$, we obtain

$$0 = \mathrm{Ext}^0(L(-p-q), L^{-1}(-x)) \to \mathrm{Ext}^1(L|_{p+q}, L^{-1}(-x)) \xrightarrow{i} \mathrm{Ext}^1(L, L^{-1}(-x))$$
$$\xrightarrow{j} \mathrm{Ext}^1(L(-p-q), L^{-1}(-x)) \overset{\varphi}{\cong} \mathrm{Ext}^1(L, L^{-1}(p+q-x)) \to 0$$

where φ is obtained from the twisting by $\mathcal{O}(p+q)$ and the first equality holds by the degree reasoning. We claim that

$$\mathbb{P}\,\mathrm{Ext}^1(L|_{p+q}, L^{-1}(-x)) = \overline{pq}.$$

To show this, at first, we prove that the line $\mathbb{P}\,\mathrm{Ext}^1(L|_{p+q}, L^{-1}(-x)) \subset \mathbb{P}^{g+1}$ is parameterized by the elements defined by the double modifications. Let $E \in \mathrm{Ext}^1(L|_{p+q}, L^{-1}(-x))$. The image E by the map i fits into the short exact sequence:

$$0 \to L^{-1}(-x) \to i(E) \to L \to 0.$$

Since $\varphi(j(i(E))) = L^{-1}(p+q-x) \oplus L$, we have the following push-out diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} (7) \qquad 0 & \longrightarrow & L^{-1}(-x) & \longrightarrow & i(E) & \longrightarrow & L & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \big\downarrow & & \big\downarrow{\scriptstyle a} & & \big\| & & \\ & 0 \longrightarrow & L^{-1}(p+q-x) & \longrightarrow & L^{-1}(p+q-x) \oplus L & \longrightarrow & L & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

By some diagram chasing, we have the short exact sequence:

$$0 \to i(E) \xrightarrow{a} L^{-1}(p+q-x) \oplus L \xrightarrow{v_p \oplus v_q} \mathbb{C}_{p+q} \to 0 \tag{8}$$

such that $p_1 \circ a$ is surjective where the map $p_1 : L \oplus L^{-1}(p+q-x) \to L$ is the projection into the first factor. Also it is clear that $p_1 \circ a$ is surjective if and only if $v_p \neq [1:0]$ and $v_q \neq [1:0]$.

Conversely, let us assume that the bundle E fits into the sequence (8) such that $p_1 \circ a$ is surjective. Then one can easily check that the E fits into the diagram (7) by the snake lemma.

In summary, the extentions of the form (8) such that $v_p \neq [1:0]$ and $v_q \neq [1:0]$ represents elements in $\mathrm{Ext}^1(L|_{p+q}, L^{-1}(-x))$. Secondly, one can easily show that the modified bundle $f(p)$ (resp. $f(q)$) fits into the diagram in (8) if $v_q = [0:1]$ and $v_p \in \mathbb{C}^*$ (resp. $v_p = [0:1]$ and $v_q \in \mathbb{C}^*$). Hence if $p \neq q$, the two distinct points $f(p)$ and $f(q)$ lies on the line $\mathbb{P}\,\mathrm{Ext}^1(L|_{p+q}, L^{-1}(-x))$ and thus it is equal to $\overline{pq}$.

Since the extension $\mathrm{Ext}^1(L|_{2p}, L^{-1}(-x))$ can be obtained as the limit of the extension $\mathrm{Ext}^1(L|_{p+q}, L^{-1}(-x))$ by letting $p \to q$, the same result holds in the case $p = q$. □

Now we describe the set-theoretic intersections of the vector bundles provided by the extensions:

(1) $\mathbb{P}V^s_\zeta \cap \mathbb{P}V_\eta$ for $\zeta \in \mathrm{Pic}^1(X)$ and $\eta \in \mathrm{Pic}^0(X)$;
(2) $\mathbb{P}V_\zeta \cap \mathbb{P}V_\eta$ for $\zeta, \eta \in \mathrm{Pic}^0(X)$.

We remark that all of these intersections are considered in the moduli space $\mathcal{N}$. The case (2) has been already studied in [23, 6.19]. They meets at a single point cleanly unless the intersection is empty. Hence we focus on the case (1).

Proposition 2.9. *Let $\zeta \in \mathrm{Pic}^1(X)$ and $\eta \in \mathrm{Pic}^0(X)$. Then the intersection $\mathbb{P}V^s_\zeta \cap \mathbb{P}V_\eta$ in $\mathcal{N}$ is one of the followings:*

i) *If* $\zeta \otimes \eta \cong \mathcal{O}(p+q-x)$*, then* $\mathbb{P}V_\zeta^s \cap \mathbb{P}V_\eta$ *is equal to the image of* $\overline{pq} \setminus \{p,q\}$ *in* $\mathcal{N}$*.*

ii) *Otherwise,* $\mathbb{P}V_\zeta^s \cap \mathbb{P}V_\eta = \phi$

Proof. Consider an element E in $\mathbb{P}V_\zeta^s \cap \mathbb{P}V_\eta$. Then,

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \zeta^{-1}(-x) & \xrightarrow{a} & E & \xrightarrow{b} & \zeta & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & & & \downarrow{=} & & & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \eta^{-1}(-x) & \xrightarrow{c} & E & \xrightarrow{d} & \eta & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

If $d \circ a = 0$, d factors through ζ. But since $\deg(\zeta) = 1 > \deg(\eta) = 0$, $d = 0$ which leads to contradiction. So, $d \circ a$ is injective. Since $\deg(\eta) = 0$ and $\deg(\zeta^{-1}(-x)) = -2$, $\mathrm{Coker}(d \circ a)) = \mathbb{C}_{p+q}$ for $p, q \in X$. Therefore $\zeta^{-1}(-x) \cong \eta(-p-q)$. From the commutative diagram

(9)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} & & & & 0 & & 0 & & \\ & & & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & L^{-1}(-x) & \longrightarrow & \eta & \xrightarrow{r} & \mathbb{C}_{p+q} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \| & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle d} & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle s} & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \zeta^{-1}(-x) & \xrightarrow{a} & E & \xrightarrow{b} & \zeta & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle c} & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle b\circ c} & & \\ & & & & \eta^{-1}(-x) & = & \eta^{-1}(-x) & & \\ & & & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\ & & & & 0 & & 0, & & \end{array}$$

we can see that $\mathrm{Coker}(d \circ a) \cong \mathrm{Coker}(b \circ c)$. From this,

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \zeta^{-1}(-x) & \xrightarrow{a} & E & \xrightarrow{b} & \zeta & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & & & \downarrow{=} & & & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \zeta(-p-q) & \xrightarrow{c} & E & \xrightarrow{d} & \zeta^{-1}(p+q-x) & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

Hence we obtain a morphism

$$b \oplus d : E \to \zeta \oplus \zeta^{-1}(p+q-x).$$

Let us consider the diagram

(10)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{C}_{p+q} & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & \mathbb{C}_{p+q} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{p+q} & \xrightarrow{h} & \mathbb{C}_{p+q} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle s\circ b} & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle s\oplus r} & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle g} & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & E & \xrightarrow{b\oplus d} & \zeta \oplus \zeta^{-1}(p+q-x) & \longrightarrow & C_3 & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

Where h is defined by $h(u,v) := u - v$. Since $h \circ (s \oplus r)$ is surjective, g is also surjective. Since degree of C_3 is 2 and supported at $\{p,q\}$, g is an isomorphism.

In summery, E fits into the diagram

(11)

$$0 \longrightarrow E \xrightarrow{b\oplus d} \zeta \oplus \zeta^{-1}(p+q-x) \xrightarrow{(v_p \oplus v_q)} \mathbb{C}_{p+q} \longrightarrow 0,$$

such that $v_t \in \mathbb{C}^*$ for $t \in \{p, q\}$. We remark that v_p and v_q lie in $\mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{[1 : 0], [0 : 1]\}$ by the surjectivities of b and d. Conversely, it is easy to check that a vector bundle E satisfying these conditions is contained in $\mathbb{P}V^s_\zeta \cap \mathbb{P}V_\eta$ when $\eta \cong \zeta^{-1}(p + q - x)$. Therefore, by Proposition 2.8, we have the conclusion. □

For the study the stable maps in $\mathbf{P}$, we need the following simple conclusion in Corollary 2.11.

Lemma 2.10. *For $\alpha \neq \beta \in \mathbb{P}V^s_L$, $\Psi_L(\alpha) = \Psi_L(\beta)$ if and only if α and β lie in a trisecant line.*

Proof. Let $\Psi_L(\alpha) = \Psi_L(\beta)$, then

$$\begin{array}{lccccccc} \alpha : [\ 0 & \longrightarrow & L^{-1}(-x) & \xrightarrow{a} & E & \xrightarrow{b} & L & \longrightarrow 0\ ] \\ & & & & \| & & & \\ \beta : [\ 0 & \longrightarrow & L^{-1}(-x) & \xrightarrow{c} & E & \xrightarrow{d} & L & \longrightarrow 0\ ]. \end{array}$$

If $d \circ a = 0$, then a factors through $L^{-1}(-x)$, and a is clearly an isomorphism on $L^{-1}(-x)$. Also d decents on L and thus d is an isomorphism on L. So we conclude that $\alpha = \beta$ which leads to a contradiction. Thus $d \circ a \neq 0$. Hence $d \circ a$ is injective. This implies that $\mathrm{Coker}(d \circ a) = \mathbb{C}_{p+q+r}$ for some $p, q, r \in X$. Then $L^{-1}(-x) \cong L(-p-q-r)$. That is, $L^2(x) \cong \mathcal{O}_X(p+q+r)$. In a similar way, $b \circ c \neq 0$. By Corollary 2.7, there is a trisecant line l in $\mathbb{P}^{g+1}$ such that $l \cap X = p + q + r$. Consider a map $b \oplus d : E \to L \oplus L$. By the similar argument in equation (10), we have $\mathrm{Coker}(b \oplus d) = \mathbb{C}_{p+q+r}$. Consider a following commutative diagram :

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & L^{-1}(-x) & \xrightarrow{d\circ a} & L & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{C}_{p+q+r} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle a} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle 0\oplus id} & & \| & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & E & \xrightarrow{b\oplus d} & L \oplus L & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{C}_{p+q+r} & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

By the snake lemma, we have a following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & L^{-1}(-x) & \xrightarrow{a} & E & \xrightarrow{b} & L & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle d\circ a} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle b\oplus d} & & \| & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & L & \longrightarrow & L \oplus L & \longrightarrow & L & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

Hence α and β are contained in the projectivization of the kernel of the map j_2 in (6) because $L \cong L^{-1}(p + q + r - x)$. Hence by Corollary 2.7, α and β lies in the trisecant line l.

To prove the necessary condition, it is enough to show that the trisecant line contracts to a point in $\mathcal{N}$. Let l be an arbitrary trisecant line such that $l \cap X = p + q + r$. In this case, $l = \overline{pq}$. Consider the following exact sequence.

(12)

$$0 \to \mathrm{Ext}^0(L^{-1}(p+q-x), L) \to \mathrm{Ext}^0(L^{-1}(p+q-x), L|_{p+q}) \to$$

$$\mathrm{Ext}^1(L^{-1}(p+q-x), L(-p-q)) \xrightarrow{j_3} \mathrm{Ext}^1(L^{-1}(p+q-x), L) \to \mathrm{Ext}^1(L^{-1}(p+q-x), L|_{p+q}) = 0,$$

be the long exact sequence from the short exact sequence $0 \to L(-p-q) \to L \to L|_{p+q} \to 0$ by taking the functor $\mathrm{Hom}(L^{-1}(p+q-x), -)$. Let us regard elements of $\ker j_3$ as $\mathbb{P}^{g-1} = \mathbb{P}V_{L^{-1}(p+q-x)}$ represented by

$$0 \to L(-p-q) \to E \to L^{-1}(p+q-x) \to 0.$$

By the same way in the proof of Proposition 2.8, E fits into the exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow E \xrightarrow{b\oplus d} L \oplus L^{-1}(p+q-x) \xrightarrow{v_p \oplus v_q} \mathbb{C}_{p+q} \longrightarrow 0 \tag{13}$$

such that d is surjective. So by Proposition 2.8 it corresponds to a point $\overline{pq} \setminus \{p, q\}$. Conversely, by some diagram chasing, one can easily check that a vector bundle E fits into the diagram (13) such that d is surjective corresponds to an element of $\ker j_3$. So $\overline{pq} \setminus \{p, q\}$ contracts to a point if and only if the dimension of $\ker j_3$ is ≤ 1. By (12),

$$\ker j_3 \cong \mathrm{Ext}^0(L^{-1}(p+q-x), L|_{p+q}) / \mathrm{Ext}^0(L^{-1}(p+q-x), L) \cong \mathbb{C}^2 / \mathrm{H}^0(L^2(x)(-p-q)).$$

But $L^2(x)(-p-q) \cong \mathcal{O}_X(r)$ (Corollary 2.7) and thus the claim holds. □

Corollary 2.11. *If the line l meets with X n times (possibly with multiplicity), the map $i : l \setminus (l \cap X) \to \mathcal{N}$ is of degree $3-n$ for $n = 0, 1, 2, 3$.*

Proof. $n = 0$: This case is clear since $\deg \Psi_L = 3$

$n = 1$: The degree of $\deg(i) \in \{0, 1, 2\}$. If $\deg(i) = 1$, then it contracts to a point in $\mathcal{N}$. Therefore by the Lemma 2.10, l is a trisecant line of X which leads to a contractions. If $\deg(i) = 1$, by [8], the map i factors through $\mathbb{P}^{g-1} = \mathbb{P}V_M$ for some $M \in \mathrm{Pic}^0(X)$. Thus by Corollary 2.9, we conclude that l meets X two times, which leads to the contraction. Therefore $\deg(i) = 2$.

$n = 2$: Let $l \cap X = p+q$ then $l = \overline{pq}$. Since l is not trisecant, $\mathrm{H}^0(L^2(x)(-p-q)) = 0$ by Proposition 2.7. Thus by the proof of Lemma 2.10, l corresponds to a line in $\mathbb{P}^{g-1} = \mathbb{P}V_{L^{-1}(p+q-x)}$. Hence the map $\deg(i) = 1$ since $\Psi_{L^{-1}(p+q-x)}$ is a linear embedding.

$n = 3$: Let $l \cap X = p + q + r$. By Lemma 2.10, l contracts to a point in $\mathcal{N}$. Therefore $\deg(i) = 0$.

□

Remark 2.12. *Consider the $n = 1$ case in Corollary 2.11. Since $\deg(i) = 0$, the closure $\overline{l} := \overline{i(l \setminus (l \cap X))}$ in $\mathcal{N}$ is a smooth conic. By* [16, Proposition 3.6]*, $\overline{l}$ should be a* Hecke *curve or a conic in $\mathbb{P}V_M \cong \mathbb{P}^{g-1}$ for some $M \in \mathrm{Pic}^0(X)$. If it is the latter case, then $l \cap X = r$, $l \setminus r \subset \mathbb{P}V_L^s \cap \mathbb{P}V_M$ for some $M \in \mathrm{Pic}^0(X)$. This is contradict to the part i) of Proposition 2.9 Hence $\overline{l}$ is a Hecke curve in $\mathcal{N}$.*

From now on, let us fix a non-trisecant line bundle L on X. So by Corollary 2.7, n=3 case does not occurs.

3. Stable maps in the moduli space $\mathcal{N}$

In §1.1, we view the classification of degree 3 stable maps $\mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathcal{N}$ studied in [3, 16]. In this section, we study the closure of the component parametrizing the stable maps of the type ii) in §1.1.

3.1. **Stable maps in the blown-up space.** Let $\mathbf{P} = \mathrm{bl}_X \mathbb{P}^{g+1}$ be the blown-up space for the non-trisecant line bundle L. Let $\beta = \pi^*[\text{line}]$ be the curve class in $\mathrm{H}_2(\mathbf{P})$. In this subsection, we will study the moduli space

$$\mathbf{M}_0(\mathbf{P}, \beta) \subset \mathbf{M}_0(\mathcal{N}, 3)$$

of stable maps of genus 0 with embedded degree 3. Let us start with the topological classificaiton of the stable maps in $\mathbf{P}$.

Lemma 3.1. *Stable maps parametrized by the closed points in $\mathbf{M}_0(\mathbf{P}, \beta)$ are one of the following types.*

1. *Lines in $\mathbb{P}^{g+1} \setminus X$.*
2. *Gluing of the proper transform of a line in $\mathbb{P}^{g+1}$ which meets X on one point p and a line in $\pi^{-1}(p) \cong \mathbb{P}^{g-1}$.*
3. *Gluing of the proper transform of a line in $\mathbb{P}^{g+1}$ which meets X on two different point p, q and a line in $\pi^{-1}(p) \cong \mathbb{P}^{g-1}$, and a line in $\pi^{-1}(q) \cong \mathbb{P}^{g-1}$*
4. *Gluing of the proper transform of a line in $\mathbb{P}^{g+1}$ which meets X on two different points p, q and a degree two stable map in $\pi^{-1}(p) \cong \mathbb{P}^{g-1}$.*
5. *Gluing of the proper transform of a line in $\mathbb{P}^{g+1}$ which is tangent to X at p and and a degree two stable map in $\pi^{-1}(p) \cong \mathbb{P}^{g-1}$.*

Furthermore, each dimension of the loci of theses types (1)-(5) is $2g$, $2g-1$, $2g-2$, $2g$ and $2g-1$ respectively.

Proof. Since $\mathrm{H}_2(\mathbf{P}) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ where $(1, 0)$ is a homology class of $\pi^*[\text{line}]$ and $(0, 1)$ is a homology class of a line in $\pi^{-1}(p)$, the homology class of the proper transform of a line $l \subset \mathbb{P}^{g+1}$ which meets X at n point is $(1, -n)$. Because of the non-trisecant condition on the curve X (Corollary 2.7), one can obtain the classifications about the stable maps in $\mathbf{P}$. The dimension computation is straightforward. For example, let us compute the dimension of the locus consisting of type (4). The locus of stable maps consisting of type (4) has a fibration over $X \times X \setminus \Delta$ with the fiber Z. Here Z parameterizes the stable maps of degree two in $\mathbb{P}^{g-1}$ passing through a fixed point. But one can easily check that Z is irreducible by [21] and [14, Chapter III, Corollary 9.6]. Therefore the locus of stable maps consisting of the type (4) is of dimension $\dim Z + 2 = (2g - 2) + 2 = 2g$. □

Through the proof of [18, Corollary 4.6], one can regard the space $\mathbf{M}_0(\mathbf{P}, \beta)$ locally as the zero locus of the section of a vector bundle on a smooth space and thus all irreducible components of $\mathbf{M}_0(\mathbf{P}, \beta)$ have the dimension at least $\int_{\beta = \pi^*[\text{line}]} c_1(T_{\mathbf{P}}) + \dim \mathbf{P} - 3 = 2g$.

Theorem 3.2. *The moduli space $\mathbf{M}_0(\mathbf{P}, \beta)$ consists of the two irreducible components $\overline{\Gamma}_1$ and $\overline{\Gamma}_2$:*

1. *Γ_1 parameterizes lines in $\mathbb{P}^{g+1} \setminus X$.*
2. *Γ_2 parameterizes the union of smooth conic and a line l meeting at a point where $\pi(l)$ is a line meets with X at two points (allowing that the line l is tangetial to X).*

Moreover, the intersection $\overline{\Gamma}_1 \cap \overline{\Gamma}_2$ consists of the stable maps of type (5) of Lemma 3.1.

As we will see in the proof of this theorem, the loci of stable maps of types (1)-(3), (5) are contained in $\overline{\Gamma}_1$, and of types (4),(5) are contained in $\overline{\Gamma}_2$. For the

proof of Theorem 3.2, let us start with the computation of the obstruction space of the stable maps of type (4).

Lemma 3.3. *Let $l \subset \mathbf{P}$ be the line such that $\pi(l) \cap X = \{p, q\}$, $p \neq q$. Then the normal bundle of the line l is given by*

$$N_{l/\mathbf{P}} \cong \mathcal{O}_l(-1)^{\oplus(g-2)} \oplus \mathcal{O}_l(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_l(1) \text{ or } \mathcal{O}_l(-1)^{\oplus(g-2)} \oplus \mathcal{O}_l^{\oplus 2}.$$

Proof. Let l_0 be the line in $\mathbb{P}^{g+1}$ cleanly intersecting with X at two points $\{p, q\}$. Let l be the strict transformation of the line l_0 along the blow-up map $\pi : \mathbf{P} = \mathrm{bl}_X \mathbb{P}^{g+1} \to \mathbb{P}^{g+1}$. By the proof of [20, Lemma 1], one can see that the bundle $N_{l/\mathbf{P}}$ fits into the following short exact sequence:

$$0 \to \pi^* N_{l_0/\mathbb{P}^{g+1}} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-E)|_l \to N_{l/\mathbf{P}} \to \mathbb{C}_p \oplus \mathbb{C}_q \to 0.$$

In fact, the last map is locally given by the followings(cf. [10, Appendix B.6.10]).

Let $T_1, ..., T_{g+1}$ be a local coordinate of $\mathbb{P}^{g+1}$ at p such that locally $I_{l_0/\mathbb{P}^{g+1}} = \langle T_1, T_3, ..., T_{g+1} \rangle$, $I_{X/\mathbb{P}^{g+1}} = \langle T_2, T_3, ..., T_{g+1} \rangle$. Then, we have local coordinate $t_1, t_2, x_3, ..., x_{g+1}$ of $\mathbf{P}$ at $\tilde{p}$ which is a lift of p in l such that $\pi \circ T_1 = t_1, \pi \circ T_2 = t_2, \pi \circ T_i = t_2 \circ x_i$ for $3 \leq i \leq g+1$. Therefore we have $\pi^* I_{l_0/\mathbb{P}^{g+1}} = \pi^* \langle t_1, t_3, ..., t_{g+1} \rangle = \langle t_1, t_2 x_3, ..., t_2 x_{g+1} \rangle$. Locally, $\langle t_2 \rangle$ is an ideal of exceptional divisor E. Therefore we have a short exact sequence.

$$0 \to I_{l/\mathbf{P}} \cdot I_{E/\mathbf{P}} \to \pi^* I_{l_0/\mathbb{P}^{g+1}} \to \pi^* I_{l_0/\mathbb{P}^{g+1}} / I_{l/\mathbf{P}} \cdot I_{E/\mathbf{P}} \to 0.$$

Take pull-back this sequence to l, we have

$$0 \to I_{l/\mathbf{P}} / I_{l/\mathbf{P}}^2 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}(-E) \to \pi^* (I_{l_0/\mathbb{P}^{g+1}} / I_{l_0/\mathbb{P}^{g+1}}^2) \xrightarrow{\tilde{\partial}_p} \mathbb{C}_p \to 0.$$

Here, the map $\tilde{\partial}_p$ is a differentiation by a tangent vector $\frac{\partial}{\partial t_1}$ in $T_p \mathbf{P}$. Dualizing this sequence we have a map $N_{l/\mathbf{P}} \to \mathbb{C}_p$. $N_{l/\mathbf{P}} \to \mathbb{C}_q$ is defined by the similar way.

From $N_{l_0/\mathbb{P}^{g+1}} = \mathcal{O}_{l_0}(1)^{\oplus g}$ and $\mathcal{O}(-E)|_l = \mathcal{O}_l(-2)$, we obtain the result. □

Similar to other Fano varieties, the normal bundle of the line can be described by the following geometric way.

Corollary 3.4. *If the projectivized tangent line T_pX and T_qX are co-planar (resp. skew lines), then $N_{l/\mathbf{P}} \cong \mathcal{O}_l(-1)^{\oplus(g-2)} \oplus \mathcal{O}_l(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_l(1)$ (resp. $N_{l/\mathbf{P}} \cong \mathcal{O}_l(-1)^{\oplus(g-2)} \oplus \mathcal{O}_l^{\oplus 2}$).*

Proof. One can see the results by using the local computation similar to that in the proof of Lemma 3.3. □

Let Q be the smooth conic in the exceptional divisor E. Since $Q \subset \mathbb{P}^{g-1}$ for some fiber of the projective bundle $E = \mathbb{P}(N_{X/\mathbb{P}^{g+1}}) \to X$, we know that

$$N_{Q/E} \cong N_{Q/\mathbb{P}^{g-1}} \oplus N_{\mathbb{P}^{g-1}/E}|_Q \cong (\mathcal{O}_Q(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_Q(1)^{\oplus(g-3)}) \oplus \mathcal{O}_Q$$

because $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{O}_Q(i)) = 0$ for $i = 1, 2$. Therefore the nested bundle sequence

$$0 \to N_{Q/E} \to N_{Q/\mathbf{P}} \to N_{E/\mathbf{P}}|_Q \cong \mathcal{O}_Q(-1) \to 0 \tag{14}$$

says that

$$N_{Q/\mathbf{P}} \cong (\mathcal{O}_Q(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_Q(1)^{\oplus(g-3)}) \oplus \mathcal{O}_Q \oplus \mathcal{O}_Q(-1). \tag{15}$$

Proposition 3.5. *Let $[C] \in \Gamma_2$ be the point such that $C = l \cup Q$ for the line l and a smooth conic Q meeting at a point z. Then $\mathrm{H}^1(N_{C/\mathbf{P}}) = 0$.*

Proof. Since the curve C has only the nodal singularity, the conormal sheaf $N^*_{C/\mathbf{P}} := I_{C/\mathbf{P}}/I^2_{C/\mathbf{P}}$ is locally free. From the two short exact sequences:

- $0 \to N^*_{C/\mathbf{P}} \to \Omega_{\mathbf{P}}|_C \to \Omega_C \to 0$ and
- $0 \to \mathcal{O}_l(-1) \to \mathcal{O}_C \to \mathcal{O}_Q \to 0$,

we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\mathrm{Ext}^1(\Omega_C, \mathcal{O}_C) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Ext}^1(\Omega_{\mathbf{P}}, \mathcal{O}_C) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Ext}^1(N^*_{C/\mathbf{P}}, \mathcal{O}_C) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow & & \\
\mathrm{Ext}^1(\Omega_C, \mathcal{O}_Q) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Ext}^1(\Omega_{\mathbf{P}}, \mathcal{O}_Q) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Ext}^1(N^*_{C/\mathbf{P}}, \mathcal{O}_Q) & \longrightarrow & 0.
\end{array}$$

Since $C = l \cup Q$ has the unique node point z, $\mathrm{Ext}^1(\Omega_C, \mathcal{O}_C) \cong \mathbb{C}$. Also, $\mathrm{Ext}^2(\Omega_C, \mathcal{O}_L(-1)) = 0$ implies that the first vertical map is surjective. By the lemma 3.6, the second vertical map $\mathrm{H}^1(T_{\mathbf{P}}|_C) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^1(\Omega_{\mathbf{P}}, \mathcal{O}_C) \to \mathrm{Ext}^1(\Omega_{\mathbf{P}}, \mathcal{O}_Q) \cong \mathrm{H}^1(T_{\mathbf{P}}|_Q) = \mathbb{C}$ is an isomorphism. Hence the claim holds whenever $\mathrm{H}^1(N_{C/\mathbf{P}}|_Q) = 0$. Let

$$0 \to N^*_{C/\mathbf{P}}|_Q \to N^*_{Q/\mathbf{P}} \xrightarrow{\partial_z} \mathbb{C}_z \to 0$$

be the structure sequence where the map ∂_z is defined by the differentiation by the tangent vector $T_z l$. This can be shown by the following local computation. We can take local coordinate $x_1, ..., x_{g+1}$ of $\mathbf{P}$ at z. where $I_{Q/\mathbf{P}} = \langle x_2, x_3, ..., x_{g+1} \rangle, I_{l/\mathbf{P}} = \langle x_1, x_3, ..., x_{g+1} \rangle$. Then, $I_{C/\mathbf{P}} = \langle x_1 x_2, x_3, ..., x_{g+1} \rangle$. Consider an exact sequene

$$0 \to I_{C/\mathbf{P}} \to I_{Q/\mathbf{P}} \to I_{Q/\mathbf{P}}/I_{C/\mathbf{P}} \to 0$$

Take pull-back to Q, we have

$$0 \to I_{C/\mathbf{P}}/I_{C/\mathbf{P}}|_Q \to I_{Q/\mathbf{P}}/I^2_{Q/\mathbf{P}} \to \mathbb{C}_z \to 0$$

Here, $I^2_{Q/\mathbf{P}} \to \mathbb{C}_z$ is given by the differentiation by $T_p l$ since it annihilates local coordinates $x_1, x_3, ..., x_{g+1}$. Then one can check that the composition map $\mathcal{O}_Q(1) \cong N^*_{E/\mathbf{P}}|_Q \subset N^*_{Q/\mathbf{P}} \xrightarrow{r} \mathbb{C}_p$ by (14) is not zero because l transversally meets with the exceptional divisor E. Hence one can easily see that $N^*_{C/\mathbf{P}}|_Q \cong \mathcal{O}_Q(s) \oplus N^*_{Q/E}$ for some $s \in Q$. Since $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{O}_Q(-r)) = \mathrm{H}^1(N_{Q/E}) = 0$, we proved the claim. □

Lemma 3.6. *(cf.* [16, Lemma 6.4]*) Let*

$$H^0(T\mathbf{P}|_l) \oplus H^0(T\mathbf{P}|_Q) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathrm{H}^0(T_p\mathbf{P}) \to H^1(T\mathbf{P}|_C) \to H^1(T\mathbf{P}|_l) \oplus H^1(T\mathbf{P}|_Q) \to 0.$$

be the long exact sequence coming from $0 \to \mathcal{O}_C \to \mathcal{O}_l \oplus \mathcal{O}_Q \to \mathbb{C}_p \to 0$*. Then the map* α *is surjective and thus* $\mathrm{H}^1(T\mathbf{P}|_C) \cong H^1(T\mathbf{P}|_Q) \cong \mathbb{C}$*.*

Proof. $T_p\mathbf{P} \cong T_p l \oplus T_p E$ since l and E transverally meets at the point p. From $\mathrm{H}^0(T\mathbf{P}|_l) = \mathrm{H}^0(Tl) \oplus \mathrm{H}^0(N_{l/\mathbf{P}})$, we see that

$$\mathrm{H}^0(T\mathbf{P}|_l) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0(T_p l) \tag{16}$$

is surjective by the positive degree part $\mathrm{H}^0(Tl) = \mathrm{H}^0(\mathcal{O}_l(2))$.

On the other hand, $\mathrm{H}^0(T\mathbf{P}|_Q) = \mathrm{H}^0(T\mathbb{P}^{g-1}|_Q) \oplus \mathrm{H}^0(N_{\mathbb{P}^{g-1}/\mathbf{P}}|_Q)$ from $Q \subset \mathbb{P}^{g-1} \subset E$. One can easily see that the first factor

$$\mathrm{H}^0(T\mathbb{P}^{g-1}|_Q) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0(T_p\mathbb{P}^{g-1})$$

is surjective. Also, by a simple computation, one can see that $N_{\mathbb{P}^{g-1}/\mathbf{P}}|_Q \cong \mathcal{O}_Q \oplus \mathcal{O}_Q(-1)$ where $N_{\mathbb{P}^{g-1}/E}|_Q = \mathcal{O}_Q$ and thus the positive degree part $\mathrm{H}^0(N_{\mathbb{P}^{g-1}/\mathbf{P}}|_Q) = \mathrm{H}^0(N_{\mathbb{P}^{g-1}/E}|_Q)$ goes to $\mathrm{H}^0(N_{\mathbb{P}^{g-1}/E,p}) = \mathbb{C}$. Hence

(17)
$$\mathrm{H}^0(T\mathbf{P}|_Q) = \mathrm{H}^0(T\mathbb{P}^{g-1}|_Q) \oplus \mathrm{H}^0(N_{\mathbb{P}^{g-1}/\mathbf{P}}|_Q) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0(T_p\mathbb{P}^{g-1}) \oplus \mathrm{H}^0(N_{\mathbb{P}^{g-1}/E,p}) = \mathrm{H}^0(T_pE)$$

is surjective. By (16) and (17), the map α is surjective. The last isomorphisms comes from Lemma 3.3 and the equation (15). □

Now we are ready to prove one of our main theorem.

Proof of the Theorem 3.2. Γ_1 is isomorphic to an open subset of $Gr(2, g+2)$ which corresponds to a line in $\mathbb{P}^{g+1}$ does not meet X. So $\overline{\Gamma}_1$ is irreducible. Also Γ_2 is irreducible by the proof of Lemma 3.1. By the Lemma 3.1, both of $\overline{\Gamma}_1$ and $\overline{\Gamma}_2$ have dimension $2g$ which is equal to the expected dimension and there is no other component which has dimension $\geq 2g$ ([18, Proof of corollary 4.6]). So $\overline{\Gamma}_1$ and $\overline{\Gamma}_2$ are all irreducible component of $\mathbf{M}_0(\mathbf{P}, \beta)$. Loci of stable maps of type (1),(2),(3) are not contained in $\overline{\Gamma}_2$, so it should be contained in $\overline{\Gamma}_1$. Types of (4) and (5) are contained in $\overline{\Gamma}_2$ by definition.

Since all irreducible components of $\mathbf{M}_0(\mathbf{P}, \beta)$ have dimension $2g$, it is a locally complete intersection by the proof of [18, Corollary 4.6]. A stable map of type (3) degenerates to a gluing of proper transform of a line in $\mathbb{P}^{g+1}$ which is tangent to X and a degenerate conic in $\pi^{-1}(p) \cong \mathbb{P}^{g-1}$ when $p \to q$, which is a stable map of type (4). Hence $\overline{\Gamma}_1 \cap \overline{\Gamma}_2 \neq \phi$. Also since there are only two irreducible components $\overline{\Gamma}_1$ and $\overline{\Gamma}_2$, $\overline{\Gamma}_1 \cap \overline{\Gamma}_2$ is pure of dimension $2g-1$ by Hartshorne's connectedness theorem([25, Theorem 3.4]).

By the proof of Proposition 3.5, a stable map of type (4) whose conic component is smooth has no obstruction. So it is a smooth point in the moduli. So it cannot be contained in $\overline{\Gamma}_1 \cap \overline{\Gamma}_2$. Sublocus of type (4) whose conic component is singular has dimension $2g-2$. Since locus of stable maps of type (5) is $2g-1$ and obviously irreducible, we conclude that $\overline{\Gamma}_1 \cap \overline{\Gamma}_2$ parametrizes stable maps of type (5). □

References

1. A. Bertram. *Moduli of rank-2 vector bundles, theta divisors, and the geometry of curves in projective space*. J. Diff. Geom. **35** (1992) 429-469.
2. S. Brivio and A. Verra. *On the theta divisor of $SU(2,1)$*, Internat. J. Math. **10** (1999), 925?942.
3. A.-M. Castravet. *Rational families of vector bundles on curves*, Internat. J. Math. **15** (2004), no. 1, 13-46.
4. A.-M. Castravet. *Rational families of vector bundles on curves, I*, arXiv:0302133.
5. D. Chen and I. Coskun. *Stable base locus decompositions of Kontsevich moduli spaces*, Michigan Math. J. **59** (2010), no. 2, 435-466.
6. J. Choi and K. Chung. *Moduli spaces of α-stable pairs and wall-crossing on $\mathbb{P}^2$*. arXiv:1210.2499. To appear J. Math. Soc. Jap.
7. K. Chung and Y.-H. Kiem. *Hilbert scheme of rational cubic curves via stable maps.* Amer. J. Math. **133** (2011), no. 3, 797-834.
8. I. Choe and J.-M. Hwang. *Chow group of 1-cycles on the moduli space of vector bundles of rank 2 over a curve*, Math. Z. 253 (2006), no. 2, 281-293
9. K. Chung, J. Hong, and Y.-H. Kiem. *Compactified moduli spaces of rational curves in projective homogeneous varieties*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **64** (2012), no. 4, 1211–1248. MR 2998922
10. W. Fulton. *Intersection theory*, Berlin Heidelberg New York, Springer 1984
11. W. Fulton and R. Pandharipande. *Notes on stable maps and quantum cohomology*, Algebraic geometry—Santa Cruz 1995, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 62, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1997, pp. 45–96. MR 1492534 (98m:14025)

12. A. Gathmann. *Counting rational curves with multiple points and Gromov-Witten invariants of blow-ups*. arXiv:9609010v1.
13. M. Green and R. Lazarsfeld. *Some results on the syzygies of finite sets and algebraic curves*. Compos. Math. **67**, 301-314 (1988)
14. R. Hartshorne. *Algebraic geometry*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52. Springer-Verlag, 1977.
15. V. Muñoz. *Quantum cohomology of the moduli space of stable bundles over a Riemann surface*, Duke Math. J. **98** (1999), 525-540.
16. Y.-H. Kiem. *Hecke correspondence, stable maps and the Kirwan desingularization*, Duke Math. J., **136**, No 3 (2007), 585-618.
17. Y.-H. Kiem. and H.-B. Moon. *Moduli space of stable maps to projective space via GIT*, International J. of Math., **21**, No 5 (2010), 639-664.
18. Y.-H Kiem and J. Li. *Localizing virtual cycles by cosections*, Journal of Amer. Math. Soc. Vol. 26, No. 4, 1025 1050, October 2013.
19. S. Kilaru. *Rational curves on moduli spaces of vector bundles*. Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. (Math. Sci.) **108**, (1998), 217-226.
20. B. Kim, Y. Lee, and K. Oh. *Rational curves on blowing-ups of projective spaces*, Michigan Math. J. **55**, 2 (2007), 335-345.
21. B. Kim and R. Pandharipande. *The connectedness of the moduli space of maps to homogeneous spaces*, Symplectic geometry and mirror symmetry (Seoul, 2000), World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 2001, 187-201.
22. M. Homma. *Theorem of Enriques-Petri type for a very ample invertible sheaf on a curve of genus three*, Math. Z. **183**, 343-353 (1983).
23. M. S. Narasimhan and S. Ramnanan. *Geometry of Hecke cycles*, I. C. P. Ramanujam-a tribute, pp. 291-345, Tata Inst. Fund. Res. Studies in Math., **8**, Springer, Berlin-New York, 1978.
24. X. Sun. *Minimal rational curves on moduli spaces of stable bundles*. Math. Ann. **331** (2005), no. 4, 925-937.
25. R. Hartshorne. *Complete intersections and connectedness*. Amer. J. Math. 84 (1962), 497-508
26. M. Kontsevich. *Enumeration of rational curves via torus actions*, In: The moduli space of curves, R. Dijkgraaf, C. Faber, and G. van der Geer, des., Vol. 129, pp.335-368, Progress in Mathematics, Birkhauser, Boston, MA, 1995.
27. M. Thaddeus. *Geometric invariant theory and flips*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **9**(1996), 691-723.
28. M. Thaddeus. *Stable pairs, linear systems and the Verlinde formula*, Inventiones mathematicae. **117**(1994), no. 1, 317-353.

Department of Mathematics Education, Kyungpook National University, 80 Daehakro, Bukgu, Daegu 41566, Korea
E-mail address: krchung@knu.ac.kr

Department of Mathematics, Seoul National University, GwanAkRo 1, Seoul 08826, Korea
E-mail address: tlrehrdl@snu.ac.kr